Review

The zinc finger network of plants

S. Ciftci-Yilmaz^a and R. Mittler^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Nevada, Mail Stop 200, Reno, NV 89557 (USA), Fax: +1-775-784-1650, e-mail: ronm@unr.edu
^b Department of Plant Science, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904 (Israel)

Received 11 October 2007; received after revision 15 November 2007; accepted 23 November 2007 Online First 12 January 2008

Abstract. The zinc finger domain enables different proteins to interact with or bind DNA, RNA, or other proteins, and is present in the proteomes of many different organisms. Proteins containing zinc finger domain(s) were found to play important roles in eukaryotic cells regulating different signal transduction pathways and controlling processes, such as development and programmed cell death. There are many types of zinc finger proteins, classified according to the number and order of the Cys and His residues that bind the Zinc ion. Among these, the C2H2-type zinc finger proteins, with 176 members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, constitute one of the largest families of

transcriptional regulators in plants. They are mostly plant-specific and contain a conserved QALGGH sequence within their zinc finger domain. Recent studies revealed that C2H2 zinc finger proteins could function as key transcriptional repressors involved in the defense and acclimation response of plants to different environmental stress conditions. Here we highlight recent functional characterization studies of different C2H2 proteins in *Arabidopsis*, and suggest that many of these proteins function as part of a large regulatory network that senses and responds to different environmental stimuli.

Keywords. C2H2, zinc finger, EAR motif, abiotic stress, Arabidopsis.

Introduction

Zinc finger proteins play a critical role in many cellular functions, including transcriptional regulation, RNA binding, regulation of apoptosis, and protein-protein interactions. They are classified into several different types including C2H2, C2C2, C2HC, C2C2C2C2, and C2HCC2C2 based on the number and order of the Cys and His residues that bind the Zinc ion in the secondary structure of the finger [1–3]. Among the different zinc finger types, C2H2-type zinc finger proteins are one of the best studied and most abundant in eukaryotes [4]. According to *in silico*

analysis, ~3% of all genes in mammals, ~0.8% of all genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and ~0.7% of all genes in *Arabidopsis* encode C2H2-type zinc finger proteins [5]. Even though many of these proteins are thought to mainly bind DNA, some are also thought to bind RNA and protein, and a subclass of zinc finger proteins is thought to specifically bind RNA [6]. In this review we focus on the C2H2 class of plant zinc finger proteins and present new findings regarding their role in regulating signal transduction events in plants.

The C2H2-zinc finger motif was first discovered in the *Xenopus* oocytes transcription factor TFIIIA about 17 years ago [7]. Early studies suggested that it associates with 5S rRNA within a 7S particle in *Xenopus* [8], but later studies suggested that it might

^{*} Corresponding author.

bind to DNA and regulate the expression of the 5S rRNA gene [9]. Nowadays, some C2H2-zinc finger proteins are still referred to as TFIIIA-type zinc finger proteins. The first report of C2H2-zinc finger proteins in plants occurred in 1992 for the DNA-binding protein of petunia, ZPT2-1 (previously named as EPF1) [10]. Soon after, WZF1 was reported in wheat as a DNA-binding zinc finger protein that interacts with a *cis* element of histone genes [11]. Subsequently, many other TFIIIA-type zinc-finger proteins have been reported from different plant species including wheat, petunia, *Arabidopsis* and rice.

C2H2-type zinc finger proteins contain one of the best-characterized DNA-binding motifs found in eukaryotes. This motif consists mostly of about 30 amino acids and includes two conserved Cys and two conserved His residues bound to one zinc ion tetrahedrally, and is represented as CX₂₋₄CX3FX5- $LX2HX_{3-5}H$ (see example in Fig. 1) [12]. Each finger forms two β strands and one α helix. A recent *in silico* analysis revealed that there are 176 C2H2-type zinc finger proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana with only 33 of them conserved with other eukaryotes and 81% of them are plant specific [5]. Two main structural features, found in most of the C2H2-type plant zinc finger proteins, distinguish them from other eukaryotes [13]: (i) In multiple fingered plant C2H2-type proteins, the zinc finger domains are separated by long spacers that vary in length and sequence from protein to protein [14], whereas in yeast and animals, the C2H2-type fingers are mostly clustered and separated by a short spacer (six to eight amino acids) known as an H-C link [1]; and (ii) most of the plant zinc finger proteins have an invariant QALGGH motif in the zinc finger helices, while animal and yeast lack this motif [13]. In vitro binding analysis revealed that the conserved QALGGH motif in plants plays a critical role in DNA binding activity. It has been shown that each amino acid of this conserved sequence is essential for the DNA-binding activity of C2H2-type zinc finger proteins [15]. Thus, substitution of any of the A, L, G, G or H residues of the first finger of the ZPT2-2 protein resulted in a complete loss of DNA-binding ability of ZPT2-2, whereas substitution of the Q residue significantly reduced DNA-binding ability of ZPT2-2 [15]. Another study performed with substituting the second G residue of the SUPERMAN protein, which contains only one C2H2-type zinc finger, to D resulted in loss of function of SUPER-MAN [16].

Englbrecht et al. [5] used different criteria, including zinc finger position and sequence, to divide all *A*. *thaliana* C2H2-type zinc finger proteins into three different sets (A, B and C), each divided into different subsets (e.g., C1, C2 and C3), which in turn are divided into different families and subclasses [5]. Pair-wise distance analysis revealed that A1 and C1 family members have smaller pair-wise distances than C2 and C3 subsets [5]. Many members of subset C2 and subset C3 are involved in ancient cellular pathways such as RNA metabolism, whereas almost all members of A1 and C1 families are plant and Arabidopsis specific and are involved in processes such as development and stress responses, suggesting that C2 and C3 subsets are evolutionary older than A1 and C1 families [5]. Among the plant-specific C2H2-type zinc finger proteins, A1 with 24 members and C1 with 64 members are the largest and evolutionary youngest families [5]. A1 family members consist of tandemly organized zinc finger domains, whereas C1 family members have either one isolated or two to five dispersed zinc finger domains [5].

C2H2-type zinc finger proteins play a crucial role in many metabolic pathways as well as in stress response and defense activation in plants. Recent studies emphasized the importance of C2H2-type zinc finger proteins with a putative repression activity to the defense and stress response of plants. Most of these proteins are thought to acquire their repression activity *via* their ethylene-responsive element-binding factor (ERF)-associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) domain (described below). Recent studies performed with the C1 C2H2-type zinc finger family suggested that these proteins play key roles in different developmental pathways, as well as in the defense and stress response pathways of *Arabidopsis*. These are described in detail below.

C1 family

The C1 family of plant zinc finger proteins contains 64 members and is one of the largest and evolutionary youngest zinc finger families [5]. C1 family members contain either one isolated or two to five dispersed C2H2-type zinc fingers (indicated by the acronym 'i'; e.g., C1–2i), and are classified according to their number of zinc fingers; 1i donates one finger, 2i two fingers, etc. [5]. The C1 subclasses include C1–1i (33 members), C1–2i (20 members), C1–3i (8 members), C1–4i (2 members) and C1–5i (1 member) [5]. Among these subclasses, members of C1–1i and C1–2i are some of the most investigated plant C2H2-type zinc finger proteins.

C1-1i subclass

C1–1i with 33 members is the largest subclass of the C1 family. Members of C1–1i have only one C2H2-

type zinc finger domain, most of which contain the conserved QALGGH motif [5]. In recent years, many members of the C1-1i subclass have been investigated. One of these proteins is Telomerase Activator 1 (TAC1, At3g09290). Studies showed that in the presence of endogenous auxin TAC1 can induce telomerase expression in non-cycling cells [17]. A later study showed that BT2, a calmodulin-binding protein, is also required for TAC1-related telomerase expression [18]. Recent studies suggested that TAC1 might play a role in the auxin-signaling pathway involved in telomerase induction. Another C1-1i subclass member investigated is Glabrous Inflorescence Stems (GIS, At3g58070). GIS plays a role in shoot maturation in Arabidopsis [19]. It plays a role in trichome initiation downstream of the giberellin (GA)-signaling pathway during inflorescence development [19]. Cytokinin-induced trichome initiation requires two other members of the C1–1i subclass: ZFP8 (At2g41940) and GIS2 (At5g06650) [20]. Both proteins are also required for giberellin-induced trichome initiation, which is interesting because several papers have suggested that giberellin and cytokinin work antagonistically [20]. Even though GIS, GIS2 and ZFP8 seem redundant in function, they are all regulated differentially during giberellin and cytokinin signaling. Other redundantly working members of C1-1i subclass include JAGGED (At1g68480) and NUBBIN (At1g13400). Both proteins play a role in microsporangia growth of anthers and the valves that are close to the apical region of gynoecium that encloses the ovules [21]. They play a role in specifically defining cell layer numbers and differentiation of adaxial cell types of the carpel walls of gynoecium [21]. One of the most investigated C1-1i subclass member is SUPERMAN (SUP, At3g23130). It has been proposed that SUP maintains the boundary between the third and fourth whorls of the flower [16]. SUP can bind to DNA through its zinc finger domain and two basic regions that surround the domain, suggesting that SUP acts as a transcription factor [22]. Later studies showed that other SUP-like proteins play a role in the development of A. thaliana. One of these proteins is RABBIT EARS (RBE, At5g06070). RBE has been proposed to play a role in early development of the organ primordia of the second whorl and maintain the boundaries of homeotic gene expression between whorls [23, 24]. Several studies have suggested that RBE might play a role as a repressor and obtain this ability through its EAR domain [24]. KNUCKLES (KNU, At5g14010), also encodes a SUP-like protein suggested to a play role as a transcriptional repressor of cellular proliferation [25].

C1-3i, C1-4i and C1-5i subclasses

Subclass C1-3i consists of eight C2H2-type zinc finger proteins all with three dispersed zinc finger domains [5]. Among them only Zat1 (At1g02030) has been previously characterized [26]. C1-4i subclass has two members with four dispersed zinc finger domains and C1-5i has only one member, which has five dispersed zinc fingers [5]. To the best of our knowledge, the function(s) of both C1-4i and C1-5i subclass members are unknown at present.

C1-2i subclass

The C1–2i subclass contains 20 members including Zat5, Zat6, Zat7, Zat8, Zat10, Zat11, Zat12, Zat13, Zat14, Zat15, Zat16, Zat17, Zat18, AZF1, AZF2, AZF3, At5g04390, At1g02040, At2g26940, and At4g04404 that show extensive homology at their first and second zinc finger domains (Fig. 1) [5]. Most of these proteins were isolated by homology-based cloning [26], and all members consist of two dispersed C2H2-type fingers [5, 26].

Eighteen of the C1–2i subclass members contain the invariant QALGGH motif in both their zinc finger helices (Fig. 1) [5]. However, it is unclear at this point whether members that lack this motif are different in their function from members that contain it. Other than the zinc finger domains, most members also share several putative nuclear localization sequences and an EAR motif [L/FDLNL/F(x)P] that is thought to have an active repression activity and is found at the C terminus of the proteins (Fig. 1C) [5, 26]. A neighbor joining tree analysis performed for the different C1–2i members revealed that several of these members could be the result of recent gene duplication (e.g., Zat10 and Zat6, Zat11 and Zat18, and Zat 7 and Zat8; Fig. 1D).

The EAR motif was first identified in the AP2/ERF domain proteins [27]. AP2/ERF (or ERF proteins) domain proteins are plant-specific transcription factors that consist of a DNA-binding domain named the ERF domain [28–30]. ERF proteins bind to the core sequence of a conserved ethylene-responsive element (GCC box) that is found in the promoters of many defense and stress response genes [31, 32]. Many genes that encode ERF proteins are thought to play a role in plant growth, development and response to biotic or abiotic stresses [27, 32]. *In silico* analysis identified over 124 genes that contain the ERF domain in plants [33].

Homology studies showed that there are two different classes of ERF proteins: class I ERFs and class II ERFs [30]. Class I ERF proteins act as activators of

At5g04340 (Zat6) At1g27730 (Zat10) At5g43170 (AZF3) At3g19580 (AZF2) At3g49930 (Zat13) At5g67450 (AZF1) At3g10470 (Zat15) At5g04390 At2g28200 (Zat5) At5g03510 (Zat14) At3g46090 (Zat7) At3g46080 (Zat8) At3q46070 (Zat16) At5g59820 (Zat12) At2g28710 (Zat17) At2g37430 (Zat11) At3g53600 (Zat18) At1g02040

•

First zinc finger domain

LLPPLPTPIYK	CSVCDKAF	SSYQALGG	HKASHRKSFS	LTQSAGGDELSTS	SAITTSGIS-
PPPAVEKLSYK	CSVCDKTF	SSYQALGG	hkashrknls	QTLSGGGDDHSTS	SATTTSAVT-
SVTVAEKPSYK	CGVCYKTF	SSYQALGG	HKASHR	-SLYGGGENDKSTI	PSTAV
PPPESKNLPYK	CNVCEKAF	PSYQALGG	HKASHRIKPF	TVISTTADDSTAP	FISIVAGEK-
SPLSDHQKDYK	CSVCGKSF	PSYQALGG	HKTSHRKPVS	VDVNNSNGTVTNN	GNISNG
ASPSDHR-DYK	CTVCGKSF	SSYQALGG	HKTSHRKPTN	TSITSGNQELSNNS	SHSNSGSVVI
GGGRAGYYVYQ	CKTCDRTF	PSFQALGG	HRASHKKPKA	AMGLHSNHDHKKSI	VYD-DAVSLH
SGGKAGYYVYQ	CKTCDRTF	PSFQALGG	HRASHKKPKA	AS-FYSNLDLKKN	FYANDAVSLV
SSFYVYE	CKTCNRTF	SSFQALGG	HRASHKKPRT	STEEKTRLPLTQP	KSSASEEG
SLGLGLDGVYQ	CKTCDKSF	HSFQALGG	HRASHKKPKL	GASVFKCVEKKTAS	SASTVETV
CGGDERVFR	CKTCLKEF	SSFQALGG	HRASHKKLIN	ISDNPSLLGSLSNK	K
GGEKRVFR	CKTCLKEF	SSFQALGG	HRASHKKLIN	ISSDPSLLGSLSNK	K
RKKRVFR	CKTCERDF	DSFQALGG	HRASHSKLTN	ISDDKSLPGSPKKKI	PKT
GGDQKRVFT	CKTCLKQF	HSFQALGG	HRASHKKPNN	IDALSSGLMKK	
KSRVFA	CKTCNKEF	PSFQALGG	HRASHRRSAA	LEGHAPPSPKRVK	
ESHTSNQFE	CKTCNKRF	SSFQALGG	HRASHKKPKL	TVEQKDVKHLSND	ſ
GSKTNHNNHFE	CKTCNRKF	DSFQALGG	HRASHKKPKL	IVDQEQVKHRN	
VKKQKTAQVFQ	CKACKKVF	TSHOALGG	HRASHKKVKG	CFASQDKEEEEEE	EYKEDDDDND

B

Second zinc finger domain

At5g04340	(Zat6)	GGGGGSVKSHVCSICHKSFATGQALGGHKRCHYEGKNGG-
At1g27730	(Zat10)	TGSGKSHVCTICNKSFPSGQALGGHKRCHYEGNNN
At5g43170	(AZF3)	KSHVCSVCGKSFATGQALGGHKRCHYDG
At3g19580	(AZF2)	HPIAASGKIHECSICHKVFPTGQALGGHKRCHYEGNLGGG
At3g49930	(Zat13)	LVGQSGKTHNCSICFKSFPSGQALGGHKRCHYDGGN
At5g67450	(AZF1)	NVTVNTGNGVSQSGKIHTCSICFKSFASGQALGGHKRCHYDGGNN
At3g10470	(Zat15)	LNNVLTTTPNNNSNHRSLVVYGKGSNNKVHECGICGAEFTSGQALGGHMRRHRGAVVAAA
At5g04390		HTTTTVFKNNNSRSLVVYGKASKNKVHECGICGAEFTSGQALGGHMRRHRGAVVVPA
At2g28200	(Zat5)	QNSHFKVSGSALASQASNIINKANKVHECSICGSEFTSGQALGGHMRRHRTAVTTIS
At5g03510	(Zat14)	EAGAVGSFLSLQVTSSDGSKKPEKTHECSICKAEFSSGQALGGHMRRHRGLTINAN
At3g46090	(Zat7)	TKTSHPCPICGVKFPMGQALGGHMRRHRNEKVS
At3g46080	(Zat8)	PICGVEFPMGQALGGHMRRHRSEKASP-
At3g46070	(Zat16)	CPICGLEFPMGQALGGHMRKHRNEKEREK
At5g59820	(Zat12)	PKTSSHPCPICGVEFPMGQALGGHMRRHRNESGAAG
At2g28710	(Zat17)	PVKHECPICGAEFAVGQALGGHMRKHRGGSGGGG
At2g37430	(Zat11)	KGNHFHKCSICSQSFGTGQALGGHMRRHRSSMTVEP
At3g53600	(Zat18)	KENDMHKCTICDQMFGTGQALGGHMRKHRTSMITEQ
At1g02040		EDEDEEEDEEDKSTAHIARKRSNAHECTICHRVFSSGQALGGHKRCHWLTPSNYL

C

EAR Motif

At5g04340	(Zat6)	GVSSSVSNSEDVGSTSHVSSGHRGFDLN1PPIPEF
At1g27730	(Zat10)	INTSSVSNSEGAGSTSHVSSSHRGFDLNIPPIPEF
At5g43170	(AZF3)	GVSNSEGVGSTSHVSSSSHRGFDLNIIPVQGF
At3g19580	(AZF2)	-GGGSKSISHSGSVSSTVSEERSHRGFIDLNLPALPEL
At3g49930	(Zat13)	GNSNGDNSHKFDLNLPADQVSDETIGKSQL
At5g67450	(AZF1)	GFDLNLPADQVSVTTS
At3g10470	(Zat15)	AASTATVSVAAIPATANTALSLSPMSFDQMSEGPIQAPVKRARSAVVSLDLDLNLPA
At5g04390		VIAP-TVTVATAAANTELSLSSMSFDQISDGHQDHLAMPAKKKARTVVSLDLDLNLPA
At2g28200	(Zat5)	PVAA-TAEVSRNSTEEEIEINIGRSMEQQRKYLPLDLNLPA
At5g03510	(Zat14)	ATSAIKTAISSSSHHHHEESIRPKNFLQLDLNLPA
At3g46090	(Zat7)	GSLVTRSFLPETTTVTALKKFSSGKRVACLDLDLDSME
At3g46080	(Zat8)	GTLVTRSFLPETTTVTTLKKSSSGKRVACLDLDSME
At3g46070	(Zat16)	ASNVLVTHSFMPETTTVTTLKKSSSGKRVACLDFDLTSVE
At5g59820	(Zat12)	GALVTRALLPEP-TVTTLKKSSSGKRVACLDLSLGMVD
At2g28710	(Zat17)	GRSLAPATAPVTMKKSGGGNGKRVLCLDLNLTPLE
At2g37430	(Zat11)	SFISPMIPSMPVLKRCGSSKRILSLDLNLTPLENDLEYIF
At3g53600	(Zat18)	SIVPSVVYSRPVFNRCSSSKEILDLNLTPLENDLVLIF
At1g02040		RMTSLHDHHHSVGRPQPLDQPSLDLNLACQEYSVDPTAMSVGMIE

Figure 1. Conserved sequences in the first and second zinc fingers, and the core ethylene-responsive element-binding factor (ERF)associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) motif of C1-2i subclass representatives. (A, B) Conserved sequences within the zinc finger domains of C1-2i subclass representatives. (C) EAR core sequence of C1-2i subclass representatives. (D) A neighbor joining tree of C1-2i Arabidopsis proteins. Alignments were performed with ClustalW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw/ index.html).



Figure 1. Continued.

transcription [27]. Members of class I ERFs include tobacco ERF2, ERF4 and JERF1, Arabidopsis AtERF1, AtERF2, AtERF5, ERF1, CBF1, DREB1 and DREB2, periwinkle ORCA2 and ORCA3, and tomato Pti4 [27, 30, 34-40]. Class II ERFs include NtERF3, AtERF3, AtERF4, AtERF7 and LeERF3b [27, 30, 41, 42]. This class of ERFs is thought to play a role as active repressors [27]. Active repressors include an independent repressor domain that represses transcription directly by chromatin modifications such as histone deacetylation or methylation, whereas passive repressors do not include an independent repressor domain and repress transcription indirectly by either DNA-protein or protein-protein interactions [32, 43]. Studies revealed that class II ERF repressors contain a conserved motif 'L/FDLNL/ F(x)P', the EAR motif [27]. Mutation in the EAR motif of ERF3 abolished its repression activity, as tested with reporter gene expression [27].

Recent studies suggested that EAR-motif containing repressors play a key role in plant defense and stressresponse mechanisms by transcriptional repression of different defense or stress-response-related genes in the absence of stress [32]. For instance, the EAR repressor AtERF4 negatively regulates the expression of PDF1.2 that encodes an antifungal peptide belonging to the family of plant defensins by modulating ethylene and jasmonic acid responses [44, 45]. Another EAR repressor, NIMIN1, represses the expression of the pathogenesis-related PR-1 gene that encodes a defense protein induced in response to pathogens or salicylic acid in plants [32]. In accordance, overexpression of the NIMIN1 protein in Arabidopsis resulted in suppression of PR-1 expression and elevated pathogen susceptibility, whereas suppression of NIMIN1 resulted in constitutive expression of PR-1 after salicylic acid treatment [46]. It has also been shown, by fusion of the EAR motif to different DNA-binding domains, that it could actively repress transcription of several genes in vivo [47, 48]. These result suggested that EAR motif-containing C2H2-type zinc finger proteins could act as repressors in plants [27, 32]. Key members of this group include Zat6, Zat7, Zat10/STZ, Zat12, AZF1, AZF2, and AZF3, which are C1-2i subclass members. Several of these proteins are thought to play a role in the response of plants to different biotic and abiotic insults. In accordance with this hypothesis, transcriptome profiling analyses has shown that the steadystate transcript level of many of these zinc finger



Figure 2. In silico DNA chip analysis of selected C1–2i subclass representatives in response to different biotic or abiotic stresses. (Left) Relative expression of C1–2i subclass members in leaves of *Arabidopsis* exposed to different stresses. (Right) Relative expression of C1–2i subclass members in roots of *Arabidopsis* exposed to different stresses. In silico analysis of DNA chip data obtained from (https:// www.genevestigator.ethz.ch) was conducted according to [53]. Due to tandem duplication of Zat7 [5], ATH1 252567_at was used to measure its expression.

proteins is elevated during different stress conditions (Fig. 2). Genetic and biochemical studies of these proteins are described below.

Zat10/STZ

Zat10 was first identified as a cDNA that rescues yeast calcineurin null mutants [49]. Calcineurin is $Ca^{2+/}$ calmodulin-dependent protein that plays a role in modulating ion channels required for tolerance to Na⁺ and Li⁺ ions [50]. In accordance, expression of Zat10/ STZ in salt-sensitive yeast cells rescued the phenotype of these cells [49]. Moreover, it also enhanced the tolerance of wild-type yeast cells to high concentrations of Na⁺ and Li⁺ [49].

Zat10 is a TFIII-type stress-response protein consisting of two C2H2-type zinc fingers. Because of Zat10's high structural similarity with different ZPT2-related proteins, it was thought to bind to DNA in a similar manner. ZPT2-related proteins bind to two tandemly repeated AGT core sequences separated by 10 bp [51]. Investigations showed that Zat10 recognizes either AGT and ACT core sequences separated by 3 bp, or ACT and AGT core sequences separated by 4 bp [14]. The DNA binding preference of Zat10 is slightly different from that of ZPT2-type and can be summarized as A[G/C]T-X₃₋₄-A[G/C]T [14]. Expression studies showed that Zat10 is expressed in all parts of Arabidopsis in response to different stresses including salinity, high light and cold [14, 26, 49, 52, 53].

Zat10 contains an EAR motif at its C terminus, suggesting that Zat10 might play role as repressor.

First evidence for Zat10's repression activity was revealed in 2001 [27]. Both full-length Zat10 and the repressor domain of Zat10 that includes the EAR motif repressed transcription of a luciferase reporter gene through interacting with EP2-type promoter that was shown to bind ZPT2-type proteins [27]. Fulllength Zat10 and its repression domain were also shown to repress the transcription of luciferase when fused to AtERF5, a class I ERF protein [27]. Soon after, another study showed that Zat10 could bind to the RD29A promoter and repress its transcription [54]. RD29A is a classical stress-response gene and this finding suggested that Zat10 could regulate RD29A transcription during stress [54].

Recent studies suggested that Zat10 plays a dual role in the response of plants to abiotic stress. Transgenic plants that constitutively express Zat10 were found to be more tolerant to drought stress, osmotic stress, and salt and heat stresses [14, 53]. Interestingly, Zat10knockout and RNAi lines were also more tolerant to osmotic and salinity stresses [53]. Overexpressing Zat10 enhanced transcription of ascorbate peroxidase 1 and 2 (APX 1, 2) and iron superoxide dismutase 1 (FSD1), which are known to play a role in scavenging reactive oxygen species in plant [53]. Zat10 might enhance the transcription of these genes by directly activating their transcription, or repressing a repressor of these genes. Taken together these data suggest that Zat10 is required for stress tolerance, and possibly plays a dual role as both an activator and a repressor of stress-response genes. Even though different studies revealed that the EAR motif of Zat10 can repress the

expression of different reporter genes *in vivo* [14, 27, 54], to the best of our knowledge, direct genetic evidence for the role of this domain in the Zat10-controlled stress-response pathway(s) of *Arabidopsis* has not been presented.

Recent studies showed that overexpression of CBF3 (C-repeat binding factor 3, also known as dehydration-responsive element-binding protein 1A or DREB1A) in Arabidopsis resulted in enhanced expression of Zat10 [55]. CBF3 is a member of the C-repeat binding factor (CBF) regulon that plays a role in cold acclimation by activating expression of COR (cold responsive) genes [56]. Moreover, a decrease in CBF3 expression, as a result of ice1 (inducer of CBF expression 1) mutation, resulted in a decrease in Zat10 expression in response to cold [56]. Transient expression assays showed that Zat10 can suppress the expression of RD29A, which is regulated by the CBF regulon, suggesting that Zat10 might act downstream of the CBF regulon and play a role in the regulation of a subset of COR genes [54, 56]. Interestingly, studies suggested that Zat12 acts as a negative regulator of the CBF regulon, therefore functioning upstream to Zat10 that is regulated by the CBF regulon [57]. Transcriptome analysis revealed that the expression patterns of Zat10 and Zat12 are similar during several different stresses (Fig. 2) [53]. Both transcripts are elevated in response to cold stress, salinity, UV-B, oxidative stress, osmotic stress and genotoxic stress (Fig. 2). These findings could suggest that Zat10 and Zat12 function in a coordinated manner during different stresses.

Zat12

Zat12 was first identified by homology cloning [26]. It consists of two C2H2-type zinc finger domains with a 22-amino acid inter-finger region, and belongs to the C1-2i subclass [5]. Following its initial identification, Zat12 was found to be a light-stress-response protein [58]. Later studies suggested that Zat12 is involved in the cold and oxidative stress response of *Arabidopsis* [57, 59, 60]. Zat12 is required for the expression of the defense enzyme cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase 1 (APX1) during oxidative stress [59]. It is also required for the expression of two important oxidative stress response proteins: Zat7 and WRKY25 in *Arabidopsis* during oxidative stress [59]. These data suggest that Zat12 expression is essential for reactive oxygen metabolism in *Arabidopsis*.

Transgenic plants that constitutively express Zat12 are more tolerant to high light, osmotic and oxidative stresses, and Zat12 antisense and knockout plants are more sensitive to light, osmotic stress and salinity [58– 60]. Moreover, expression of Zat12 in transgenic plants was found to elevate the expression of 42 different transcripts involved in the response of plants to high light and osmotic stress [60]. Trancriptome analysis showed that Zat12 expression is elevated in response to many different abiotic stresses (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, Zat12 gain- and loss-of-function studies suggested that Zat12 is required for stress tolerance in only a few of these stresses [60]. Because of the extensive overlap between the transcriptome of plants subjected to hydrogen peroxide stress and the transcriptome of plants expressing Zat12 [60], it was suggested that Zat12 expression might be associated with the response of plants to reactive oxygen species accumulation during abiotic stresses [60].

Transcriptome analysis of the cold response in *Arabidopsis* suggested that 302 genes are up-regulated and 212 genes are down-regulated in response to low temperature [57]. Most of the genes highly regulated by low temperature were assigned to two main regulons: the CBF regulon and a regulon controlled by Zat12 [57]. Moreover, it was suggested that Zat12 negatively regulates the CBF regulon [57].

Zat12 contains an EAR motif at the C terminus and this motif might function as a repressor domain [5, 27, 57, 60]. It has been suggested that Zat12 suppresses the expression of the key cold-stress-response transcription factors CBF1, CBF2 and CBF3 in response to stress [57]. Microarray analysis revealed that overexpression of Zat12 resulted in the repression of several transcripts [57, 60]. Moreover, Zat12 loss-of-function lines showed enhanced tolerance to heat stress, suggesting that Zat12 might function as repressor [60]. Even though several lines of evidence suggest that Zat12 has a repression activity, most likely through its EAR motif, at present direct function-structure studies that support this hypothesis have not been reported.

Zat7

Zat7 is C2H2-type zinc finger protein consisting of two zinc fingers with a conserved QALGGH sequence and an EAR motif [5]. Zat7 was initially identified as an oxidative stress-response protein in knockout APX1 plants subjected to internal oxidative stress [59]. Transgenic plants that constitutively express Zat7 have enhanced tolerance to salinity stress and the EAR motif of Zat7 has been shown to be required for this tolerance [61]. Moreover, in contrast to transgenic plants that constitutively express Zat7 and show enhanced tolerance to salinity, transgenic plants that constitutively express a Zat7 protein that lacks a functional EAR motif showed enhanced sensitivity to salinity [61]. Yeast-two-hybrid experiments suggested that the EAR motif is also required for proteinprotein interactions [61]. These studies showed that Zat7 interacts with stress-response and defense-related proteins such as the transcription factor WRKY70 and the miRNA transport protein HASTY through its EAR domain [61]. Interestingly, the expression of Zat7, WRKY70 and HASTY is up-regulated in knockout APX1 plants that are more tolerant to salinity stress, suggesting that these three proteins are part of a salinity stress signaling pathway [61]. Transgenic plants that constitutively express Zat7 showed enhanced tolerance to cold stress but increased sensitivity to osmotic stress [61]. These findings could suggest a complex mode of regulation for zinc finger proteins during different stresses.

Previous studies suggested that constitutive expression of EAR motif-containing zinc finger proteins, such as Zat10, Zat7 or Zat12, resulted in growth suppression of plants. Because plants with suppressed growth are typically more tolerant to abiotic stresses, it was suggested that growth suppression in these transgenic plants might be the reason for their enhanced tolerance to different abiotic stresses [53, 57]. Nevertheless, the studies of Ciftci-Yilmaz et al. [61] clearly demonstrated that growth suppression in Zat7-overexpressing plants could be distinguished from enhanced tolerance to stress. These studies also suggested that the EAR motif is not responsible for growth suppression in transgenic plants expressing Zat7 [61].

AZF1, AZF2, AZF3

AZF1 (*Arabidopsis* zinc-finger protein 1), AZF2, and AZF3 were first identified by homology cloning [52]. They all contain two canonical C2H2-type zinc fingers separated by a long spacer, and a conserved EAR motif [5, 52]. AZFs show similarity to Zat10 both structurally and functionally [5, 14, 52]. Similar to Zat10, AZF2 also binds to two canonical A[G/C]T sequences [14]. Transient expression analysis revealed that all AZFs have repression activity possibly through their EAR domain [14]. Expression analysis indicated that AZF1 and AZF3 expression is mainly restricted to roots, whereas AZF2 is expressed at various levels at all organs of *Arabidopsis* with high expression in roots [14, 52].

All AZFs are involved in the water-stress response of *Arabidopsis* [52]. AZF1 responds rapidly to salt and cold stresses but appears to be abscisic acid (ABA) independent (Fig. 2) [14, 52]. AZF2 contains an ABA-responsive element (ABRE) in its promoter region [14, 52], is strongly induced by ABA and salt, and likely to be ABA dependent [14, 52]. Interestingly, all AZFs are induced by ethephon, which produces ethylene and hydrogen peroxide [14, 62].

Zat6

Zat6 expression is enhanced in response to cold and osmotic stresses (Fig. 2); nevertheless, it is not clear whether Zat6 function is required for tolerance to these stresses. Recent studies suggested that Zat6 is involved in root development and phosphate homeostasis in Arabidopsis [63]. Enhanced expression of Zat6 in Arabidopsis resulted in repression of primary root growth and a subsequent change in phosphate acquisition, whereas suppressing Zat6 expression resulted in lethality [63]. Moreover, enhanced expression of Zat6 repressed the expression of several phosphate response genes such as At4 and Pht1;1 during phosphate starvation [63]. It is possible that the EAR domain of Zat6 is involved in transcriptional repression during phosphate starvation and development of primary root growth.

Conclusion

With 176 members, the H2C2-zinc finger protein family constitutes one of the largest families of transcriptional regulators in Arabidopsis [5]. To date, many different studies have shown that C2H2zinc finger proteins are required for key cellular processes including transcriptional regulation, development, pathogen defense, and stress responses. A recent study of the Oryza sativa (rice) C2H2-type zinc family identified 189 members of this family and demonstrated that at least 26 of them respond to different environmental stresses [64]. Interestingly, the expression of 12 rice C2H2 proteins is upregulated in response to different environmental stresses, as well as during different phases of reproduction. Recent genetic studies pointed to possible interactions between different zinc finger proteins during stress. Expression of Zat7 in transgenic plants, for example, enhanced the tolerance of plants to cold stress, but decreased the tolerance of plants to osmotic stress [61]. In contrast, expression of Zat7 proteins with a mutated or truncated EAR domain in transgenic plants had no effect on abiotic stress tolerance [61]. Could Zat7 interact with other zinc finger proteins during osmotic stress and disrupt their function? In addition to possible protein-protein interactions between different zinc-finger proteins, a cascade of zinc finger proteins could be activated during stress. Thus, for example, during oxidative stress Zat12 is required for the expression of Zat7 [59], and during cold stress Zat12 functions upstream to Zat10 [56]. Could different zinc finger proteins interact with each other in a hierarchical or a combinatorial manner to regulate transcription? What then is the order of regulation? Future studies attempting to address these questions could shed much needed light on the mode of action of different zinc finger proteins and how they regulate different process in plants. The in silico analysis shown in Figures 1D and 2 reveals some very interesting relationships between different C1-2i members. Not all members appear to respond to different biotic and abiotic insults, demonstrating a high degree of specificity for different Zat proteins. Zat6 and Zat10 that appear to be highly related and may be the result of recent gene duplication (Fig. 1D), appear to respond in a similar manner to the different stresses studied in leaves and roots (Fig. 2). In contrast, Zat10 and Zat12 that appear to respond similarly to different stresses (Fig. 2) are much less similar and appear to be more distinct (Fig. 1D).

In addition to studying the basic functions of different zinc finger proteins, applied applications of different zinc finger proteins should be considered. Thus, different zinc finger proteins or domains of different proteins, such as the EAR domain, could be used to alter development, or enhance the tolerance of transgenic plants to different stress conditions. Nevertheless, before such applications can be considered, the exact mode of action of different zinc finger proteins should be elucidated because simply overexpressing them in transgenic plants could result in deleterious side effects, including decreased tolerance to other unrelated stresses, or suppressed growth. Although this review has mainly focused on the C1 family, other families of zinc finger proteins have been recently reported to be involved in basic processes in plants, e.g., the A3 subclass AtCZS protein was reported to play a role in chromatin modifications in Arabidopsis [65].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (IBN-0420033 and NSF-0431327), the Nevada Agricultural Experimental Station (publication no. 03055517), and the NIH IDeA Network of Biomedical Research Excellence (INBRE, RR-03–008).

- Klug, A. and Schwabe, J. W. (1995) Protein motifs 5. Zinc fingers. FASEB J. 9, 597–604.
- 2 Mackay, J. P. and Crossley, M. (1998) Zinc fingers are sticking together. Trends Biochem. Sci. 23, 1–4.
- 3 Sanchez-Garcia, I. and Rabbitts, T.H. (1994) The LIM domain: A new structural motif found in zinc-finger-like proteins. Trends Genet. 10, 315–320.
- 4 Laity, J. H., Lee, B. M. and Wright, P. E. (2001) Zinc finger proteins: New insights into structural and functional diversity. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 11, 39–46.
- 5 Englbrecht, C. C., Schoof, H. and Bohm, S. (2004) Conservation, diversification and expansion of C2H2 zinc finger proteins in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. BMC Genomics 5, 39.
- 6 Iuchi, S. (2001) Three classes of C2H2 zinc finger proteins. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 58, 625–635.
- 7 Miller, J., McLachlan, A. D. and Klug, A. (1985) Repetitive zinc-binding domains in the protein transcription factor IIIA from *Xenopus* oocytes. EMBO J. 4, 1609–1614.

- 8 Picard, B. and Wegnez, M. (1979) Isolation of a 7S particle from *Xenopus laevis* oocytes: A 5S RNA-protein complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 241–245.
- 9 Pelham, H. R. and Brown, D. D. (1980) A specific transcription factor that can bind either the 5S RNA gene or 5S RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 4170–4174.
- 10 Takatsuji, H., Mori, M., Benfey, P. N., Ren, L. and Chua, N. H. (1992) Characterization of a zinc finger DNA-binding protein expressed specifically in Petunia petals and seedlings. EMBO J. 11, 241–249.
- 11 Sakamoto, A., Minami, M., Huh, G. H. and Iwabuchi, M. (1993) The putative zinc-finger protein WZF1 interacts with a *cis*-acting element of wheat histone genes. Eur. J. Biochem. 217, 1049–1056.
- 12 Pabo, C. O., Peisach, E. and Grant, R. A. (2001) Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 70, 313–340.
- 13 Takatsuji, H. (1999) Zinc-finger proteins: The classical zinc finger emerges in contemporary plant science. Plant Mol. Biol. 39, 1073-1078.
- 14 Sakamoto, H., Maruyama, K., Sakuma, Y., Meshi, T., Iwabuchi, M., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2004) *Arabidopsis* Cys2/His2-type zinc-finger proteins function as transcription repressors under drought, cold, and high-salinity stress conditions. Plant Physiol. 136, 2734–2746.
- 15 Kubo, K., Sakamoto, A., Kobayashi, A., Rybka, Z., Kanno, Y., Nakagawa, H. and Takatsuji, H. (1998) Cys2/His2 zincfinger protein family of petunia: Evolution and general mechanism of target-sequence recognition. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 608-615.
- 16 Sakai, H., Medrano, L. J. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1995) Role of SUPERMAN in maintaining *Arabidopsis* floral whorl boundaries. Nature 378, 199–203.
- 17 Ren, S., Johnston, J. S., Shippen, D. E. and McKnight, T. D. (2004) TELOMERASE ACTIVATOR1 induces telomerase activity and potentiates responses to auxin in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 16, 2910–2922.
- 18 Ren, S., Mandadi, K. K., Boedeker, A. L., Rathore, K. S. and McKnight, T. D. (2007) Regulation of telomerase in *Arabidopsis* by BT2, an apparent target of TELOMERASE ACTIVATOR1. Plant Cell 19, 23–31.
- 19 Gan, Y., Kumimoto, R., Liu, C., Ratcliffe, O., Yu, H. and Broun, P. (2006) GLABROUS INFLORESCENCE STEMS modulates the regulation by gibberellins of epidermal differentiation and shoot maturation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 18, 1383–1395.
- 20 Gan, Y., Liu, C., Yu, H. and Broun, P. (2007) Integration of cytokinin and gibberellin signalling by *Arabidopsis* transcription factors GIS, ZFP8 and GIS2 in the regulation of epidermal cell fate. Development 134, 2073–2081.
- 21 Dinneny, J. R., Weigel, D. and Yanofsky, M. F. (2006) NUB-BIN and JAGGED define stamen and carpel shape in *Arabidopsis*. Development 133, 1645–1655.
- 22 Dathan, N., Zaccaro, L., Esposito, S., Isernia, C., Omichinski, J. G., Riccio, A., Pedone, C., Di Blasio, B., Fattorusso, R. and Pedone, P. V. (2002) The *Arabidopsis* SUPERMAN protein is able to specifically bind DNA through its single Cys2-His2 zinc finger motif. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 4945–4951.
- 23 Takeda, S., Matsumoto, N. and Okada, K. (2004) RABBIT EARS, encoding a SUPERMAN-like zinc finger protein, regulates petal development in *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Development 131, 425–434.
- 24 Krizek, B. A., Lewis, M. W. and Fletcher, J. C. (2006) RABBIT EARS is a second-whorl repressor of AGAMOUS that maintains spatial boundaries in *Arabidopsis* flowers. Plant J. 45, 369–683.
- 25 Payne, T., Johnson, S. D. and Koltunow, A. M. (2004) KNUCKLES (KNU) encodes a C2H2 zinc-finger protein that regulates development of basal pattern elements of the *Arabidopsis* gynoecium. Development 131, 3737–3749.
- 26 Meissner, R. and Michael, A. J. (1997) Isolation and characterisation of a diverse family of *Arabidopsis* two and three-

fingered C2H2 zinc finger protein genes and cDNAs. Plant Mol. Biol. 33, 615–624.

- 27 Ohta, M., Matsui, K., Hiratsu, K., Shinshi, H. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2001) Repression domains of class II ERF transcriptional repressors share an essential motif for active repression. Plant Cell 13, 1959–1968.
- 28 Allen, M. D., Yamasaki, K., Ohme-Takagi, M., Tateno, M. and Suzuki, M. (1998) A novel mode of DNA recognition by a betasheet revealed by the solution structure of the GCC-box binding domain in complex with DNA. EMBO J. 17, 5484– 5496.
- 29 Hao, D., Ohme-Takagi, M. and Sarai, A. (1998) Unique mode of GCC box recognition by the DNA-binding domain of ethylene-responsive element-binding factor (ERF domain) in plant. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 26857–26861.
- 30 Fujimoto, S. Y., Ohta, M., Usui, A., Shinshi, H. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2000) Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. Plant Cell 12, 393– 404.
- 31 Ohme-Takagi, M. and Shinshi, H. (1995) Ethylene-inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. Plant Cell 7, 173–182.
- 32 Kazan, K. (2006) Negative regulation of defence and stress genes by EAR-motif containing repressors. Trends Plant Sci. 11, 109–112.
- 33 Riechmann, J. L., Heard, J., Martin, G., Reuber, L., Jiang, C., Keddie, J., Adam, L., Pineda, O., Ratcliffe, O. J., Samaha, R. R., Creelman, R., Pilgrim, M., Broun, P., Zhang, J. Z., Ghandehari, D., Sherman, B. K. and Yu, G. (2000) *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: Genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. Science 290, 2105–2110.
- 34 Stockinger, E. J., Gilmour, S. J. and Thomashow, M. F. (1997) Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 1035–1040.
- 35 Zhou, J., Tang, X. and Martin, G. B. (1997) The Pto kinase conferring resistance to tomato bacterial speck disease interacts with proteins that bind a cis-element of pathogenesisrelated genes. EMBO J. 16, 3207–3218.
- 36 Liu, Q., Kasuga, M., Sakuma, Y., Abe, H., Miura, S., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K. (1998) Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 10, 1391– 1406.
- 37 Solano, R., Stepanova, A., Chao, Q. and Ecker, J. R. (1998) Nuclear events in ethylene signaling: A transcriptional cascade mediated by ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3 and ETHYL-ENE-RESPONSE-FACTOR1. Genes Dev. 12, 3703–3714.
- 38 Menke, F. L., Champion, A., Kijne, J. W. and Memelink, J. (1999) A novel jasmonate- and elicitor-responsive element in the periwinkle secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene Str interacts with a jasmonate- and elicitor-inducible AP2-domain transcription factor, ORCA2. EMBO J. 18, 4455–4463.
- 39 van der Fits, L. and Memelink, J. (2000) ORCA3, a jasmonateresponsive transcriptional regulator of plant primary and secondary metabolism. Science 289, 295–297.
- 40 Wu, L., Chen, X., Ren, H., Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., Wang, J., Wang, X. C. and Huang, R. (2007) ERF protein JERF1 that transcriptionally modulates the expression of abscisic acid biosynthesis-related gene enhances the tolerance under salinity and cold in tobacco. Planta 226, 815–825.
- 41 Song, C. P., Agarwal, M., Ohta, M., Guo, Y., Halfter, U., Wang, P. and Zhu, J. K. (2005) Role of an *Arabidopsis* AP2/EREBPtype transcriptional repressor in abscisic acid and drought stress responses. Plant Cell 17, 2384–2396.
- 42 Chen, G., Hu, Z. and Grierson, D. (2007) Differential regulation of tomato ethylene responsive factor LeERF3b, a

putative repressor, and the activator Pti4 in ripening mutants and in response to environmental stresses. J. Plant Physiol. [Epub ahead of print].

- 43 Thiel, G., Lietz, M. and Hohl, M. (2004) How mammalian transcriptional repressors work. Eur. J. Biochem. 271, 2855– 2862.
- 44 McGrath, K. C., Dombrecht, B., Manners, J. M., Schenk, P. M., Edgar, C. I., Maclean, D. J., Scheible, W. R., Udvardi, M. K. and Kazan, K. (2005) Repressor- and activator-type ethylene response factors functioning in jasmonate signaling and disease resistance identified via a genome-wide screen of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor gene expression. Plant Physiol. 139, 949–959.
- 45 Yang, Z., Tian, L., Latoszek-Green, M., Brown, D. and Wu, K. (2005) *Arabidopsis* ERF4 is a transcriptional repressor capable of modulating ethylene and abscisic acid responses. Plant Mol. Biol. 58, 585–596.
- 46 Weigel, R. R., Pfitzner, U. M. and Gatz, C. (2005) Interaction of NIMIN1 with NPR1 modulates PR gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 17, 1279–1291.
- 47 Hiratsu, K., Matsui, K., Koyama, T. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2003) Dominant repression of target genes by chimeric repressors that include the EAR motif, a repression domain, in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 34, 733–739.
- 48 Matsui, K., Tanaka, H. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2004) Suppression of the biosynthesis of proanthocyanidin in *Arabidopsis* by a chimeric PAP1 repressor. Plant Biotechnol. J. 2, 487–493.
- 49 Lippuner, V., Cyert, M. S. and Gasser, C. S. (1996) Two classes of plant cDNA clones differentially complement yeast calcineurin mutants and increase salt tolerance of wild-type yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 12859–12866.
- 50 Nakamura, T., Liu, Y., Hirata, D., Namba, H., Harada, S., Hirokawa, T. and Miyakawa, T. (1993) Protein phosphatase type 2B (calcineurin)-mediated, FK506-sensitive regulation of intracellular ions in yeast is an important determinant for adaptation to high salt stress conditions. EMBO J. 12, 4063– 4071.
- 51 Takatsuji, H., Nakamura, N. and Katsumoto, Y. (1994) A new family of zinc finger proteins in petunia: Structure, DNA sequence recognition, and floral organ-specific expression. Plant Cell 6, 947–958.
- 52 Sakamoto, H., Araki, T., Meshi, T. and Iwabuchi, M. (2000) Expression of a subset of the *Arabidopsis* Cys(2)/His(2)-type zinc-finger protein gene family under water stress. Gene 248, 23–32.
- 53 Mittler, R., Kim, Y., Song, L., Coutu, J., Coutu, A., Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., Lee, H., Stevenson, B. and Zhu, J. K. (2006) Gainand loss-of-function mutations in Zat10 enhance the tolerance of plants to abiotic stress. FEBS Lett. 580, 6537–6542.
- 54 Lee, H., Guo, Y., Ohta, M., Xiong, L., Stevenson, B. and Zhu, J. K. (2002) LOS2, a genetic locus required for cold-responsive gene transcription encodes a bi-functional enolase. EMBO J. 21, 2692–2702.
- 55 Maruyama, K., Sakuma, Y., Kasuga, M., Ito, Y., Seki, M., Goda, H., Shimada, Y., Yoshida, S., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2004) Identification of cold-inducible downstream genes of the *Arabidopsis* DREB1A/CBF3 transcriptional factor using two microarray systems. Plant J. 38, 982–993.
- 56 Chinnusamy, V., Zhu, J. and Zhu, J. K. (2007) Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 12, 444–451.
- 57 Vogel, J. T., Zarka, D. G., Van Buskirk, H. A., Fowler, S. G. and Thomashow, M. F. (2005) Roles of the CBF2 and ZAT12 transcription factors in configuring the low temperature transcriptome of *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 41, 195–211.
- 58 Iida, A., Kazuoka, T., Torikai, S., Kikuchi, H. and Oeda, K. (2000) A zinc finger protein RHL41 mediates the light acclimatization response in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 24, 191–203.
- 59 Rizhsky, L., Davletova, S., Liang, H. and Mittler, R. (2004) The zinc-finger protein Zat12 is required for cytosolic ascorbate

peroxidase 1 expression during oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis*. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 11736–11743.

- 60 Davletova, S., Schlauch, K., Coutu, J. and Mittler, R. (2005) The zinc-finger protein Zat12 plays a central role in reactive oxygen and abiotic stress signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 139, 847–856.
- 61 Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., Morsy, M. R., Song, L., Coutu, A., Krizek, B. A., Lewis, M. W., Warren, D., Cushman, J., Connolly, E. L. and Mittler, R. (2007) The EAR-motif of the Cys2/His2-type zinc finger protein Zat7 plays a key role in the defense response of *Arabidopsis* to salinity stress. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 9260–9268.
- 62 Alonso, J. M. and Ecker, J. R. (2001) The ethylene pathway: A paradigm for plant hormone signaling and interaction. Sci. STKE. (70), RE1.
- 63 Devaiah, B. N., Nagarajan, V. K. and Raghothama, K. G. (2007) Phosphate homeostasis and root development in *Arabidopsis* are synchronized by the zinc finger transcription factor ZAT6. Plant Physiol. 145, 147–159.
- 64 Agarwal, P., Arora, R., Ray, S., Singh, A. K., Singh, V. P., Takatsuji, H., Kapoor, S. and Tyagi, A. K. (2007) Genome-wide identification of C(2)H (2) zinc-finger gene family in rice and their phylogeny and expression analysis. Plant Mol. Biol. 65, 467–485.
- 65 Krichevsky, A., Gutgarts, H., Kozlovsky, S. V., Tzfira, T., Sutton, A., Sternglanz, R., Mandel, G. and Citovsky, V. (2007) C2H2 zinc finger-SET histone methyltransferase is a plantspecific chromatin modifier. Dev. Biol. 303, 259–269.

To access this journal online: http://www.birkhauser.ch/CMLS